

State Of Wisconsin

Circuit Court

Fond Du Lac County

**SAFE WATER ASSOCIATION, INC.,
Plaintiff,**

vs.

Case No. 92 CV 579

**CITY OF FOND DU LAC,
Defendant.**

**AFFIDAVIT OF HANS C. MOOLENBURGH, M.D.
IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGEMENT**

Hans C. Moolenburgh, M.D., being first duly sworn on oath, under penalty of perjury, and with personal knowledge of the information contained herein, respectfully states to the Court as follows:

BACKGROUND

1. I am a medical doctor. I graduated from the University of Leyden in 1952. I have been a general practitioner in the city of Haarlem in the Netherlands since April 1953.
2. Apart from being a G.P., my main interests are clinical ecology and complementary forms of cancer treatment.
3. I have written three books on the subject of fluoridation. Two of these are in Dutch: "Fluoridering van het leidingwater," 1973 and "Fluor Liever niet" in 1990.
4. The third book is in English: "Fluoride, the Freedom Fight," 1987. This is a true and accurate account of the consideration, debate, and eventual rejection of fluoridation in the Netherlands. I was actively involved in that process, and spent a number of years becoming very familiar with the science surrounding fluoridation.

SAFETY OF FLUORIDATION

5. On March 20th, 1972, the city of Amsterdam began fluoridating its water supplies. This had a widespread effect on surrounding communities who derived their drinking water from the Amsterdam water suppliers, such as Heemstede, Bennebroek, Hoofddorp, Haarlemmerliede and many others.
6. I found myself in a unique position to study the effects of fluoridation first hand, as half my practice lies in Heemstede (fluoridated) and the other half in Haarlem (non-fluoridated).
7. As I had already extensively studied the possible side-effects of fluoridated water from the mainly American literature, I was on the lookout for changes in the morbidity pattern in my practice. It soon became apparent that the extensive American data showing adverse effects were accurate.

8. The adverse health effects began almost at once, with people, especially children, developing colicky pains. The parents of these children often did not even know that their water supplies were fluoridated. These sudden changes only took place in fluoridated Heemstede, and the cure was easy: non-fluoridated water.
9. This cure was shown repeatedly to be the correct one, as parents made errors with the jerrycans of non-fluoridated water. As soon as fluoridated water was given, be it only one cup, the sensitive children began to yell again. This was particularly stressful in babies, who often yelled through the whole night.
10. Other early symptoms were the small ulcers in the mouth called stomatitis aphtosa. I also saw how children with a known allergic condition that had been under control, such as children with atopic eczema, suddenly saw a return of their complaints.
11. Based upon this experience in my own practice, I founded a group of 12 medical doctors, two biologists and one lawyer to look into these side-effects in a serious and scientific way. All the doctors came from fluoridated communities and many did not believe in the existence of the side-effects, as the health authorities had emphatically denied their existence.
12. After several months of careful study, the group began to grasp the full importance of the problem. The difficulty at first was that each symptom in itself could be interpreted as a normal illness. Only a combination of symptoms (e.g. colicky pains, small ulcers and blurred vision) pointed in the true direction.
13. Our study was greatly helped by the fact that one of the doctors, whom himself had doubted the side-effects, had severe complaints of chronic abdominal pains. He was found to be a victim of the fluoridated water.
14. In each of the many cases of adverse fluoride reaction we identified, changing to non-fluoridated water cured the complaints. When fluoridated water was returned, the complaints returned.
15. The list of the most common complaints we could readily identify with the exposure to fluoridation includes;
 - Stomach and intestinal pains
 - Mouth ulcers
 - Excessive thirst
 - Skin irritation and eczema
 - Migraine-like headaches
 - Visual disturbances (blurred vision)
 - Worsening of known allergic complaints
 - Mental depression
16. After several months a new and disturbing complaint was added to these: joint pains. While the other complaints could be cured in two or three days with non-fluoridated water, the joint complaints took several weeks to some months of non-fluoridated water to clear up.
17. Some of our group urged that double blind tests should be used to evaluate our initial findings. Even though the clinical evidence was already overwhelming, the group voted in favor of such a double blind study. These tests proved that fluoridated water caused the side effects we had identified, and the results have been published (Exhibit ____).
18. Through continued study and research, we now understand that what we have been studying is not an allergy for the fluoride ion as we had first thought, but an intoxication from the highly toxic fluorides that had been added to the water supplies. It is well known that sensitivity to poisons can vary from person to person, and that this variation is quite extensive.
19. In an allergy, an increased dose of the irritating substance will still only give symptoms, perhaps increased in severity, in those allergic to it.

20. In a poison, a small dose will give complaints in the more sensitive persons. In the case of fluoride, research shows that to include about 5% of the population. With increasing doses more and more people will fall ill, and when the dose is high enough everyone will be ill, with perhaps the most sensitive persons already dead or dying.
21. This poison reaction to fluoride, rather than an allergic reaction, has also been shown by the sudden increase of complaints in populations exposed to increased fluoridation, usually due to malfunctioning fluoridation equipment.
22. As a summary of our research, we are now convinced that fluoridation of the water supplies causes a low grade intoxication of the whole population, with only the approximately 5% most sensitive persons showing acute symptoms.
23. The whole population being subjected to low grade poisoning means that their immune systems are constantly overtaxed. With all the other poisonous influences in our environment, this can hasten health calamities.
24. It is in the light of this constant low grade poisoning that the substantial evidence of increased cancer death rate due to fluoridation needs to be considered and understood.
25. It is my considered scientific opinion, and I speak in the name of my colleagues who joined in this research, that the fluoridation of water supplies gives a significant immunosuppression of the whole population subject to it, and is one of the important precursors of the civilization illnesses that are rampant in modern industrialized society.
26. There are no artificially fluoridated water supplies today in the Netherlands.
27. It is my best scientific judgement, made with a high degree of scientific certainty, that fluoridated drinking water is very dangerous to the health of those who drink it.
28. I make this Affidavit in support of the Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment.

Affidavit of Hans C. Moolenburgh, M.D., Safe Water Association vs. City Of Fond du Lac, March 1993